



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,056	01/15/2004	Charles Philip Fricano	RSW920030224US1	5114	
36736 7	590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
DUKE W. YEE & ASSO		SURYAWANSHI, SURESH			
P.O. BOX 802	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX	75380	2115	 		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/758,056	FRICANO ET A	FRICANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Suresh K. Suryawanshi	2115				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>15 Ja</i>	nuary 2004					
2a)□			action is non-final.					
	<u>, </u>							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			·				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	annlication						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
_	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requirement.					
,	•							
	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2</u>	2 <u>004</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by the Exami	iner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the o	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		· ·	- · · · · ·	• •			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the prior	ity documents have bee	en received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment	c(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	TO 453)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/04.	PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Claims 19-20 are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of Applicant's disclosure, specification page 15, lines 16-25, the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., recordable-type media, such as a floppy disk, a hard disk drive, a RAM, CD-ROMs, DVD-ROMs) and intangible embodiments (e.g., transmission-type media, such as digital and analog communications links, wired or wireless communications links using transmission forms, such as, for example, radio frequency and light wave transmissions). As such, the claims are not limited to statutory subject matter and are therefore non-statutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 2115

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: one of two "ahead" words should have been "behind" at page 3 at line 11 and 20.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Vangen (US Patent 4,337,463).
- 8. As per claims 1, 7, 13 and 19, AAPA discloses that in a distributed caching environment, notification events are passed between member servers to synchronize cache entries within the managed cluster's domain. Further, AAPA discloses how if the clocks in the member servers are not synchronized, an incoming event may be unexpectedly discarded. AAPA provides some examples to solve the problems [page 2, line 5 -- page 3, line 2].

Application/Control Number: 10/758,056

Art Unit: 2115

AAPA does not disclose if time relative information can be utilized between two member servers to synchronize the clocks between them. However, Vangen clearly discloses an invention relating to a time synchronization transmitter-receiver system to be used between two stations in any system in which the actual time of events needs to be known [col. 3, line 42 -- col. 4, line 30]. Vangen expressly discloses how the clock delta can be used to adjust time information to allow for the transmission time from one station to another station thus allowing the clocks to be synchronized to a time [col. 4, lines 13-30]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the cited references as both are directed to time synchronization between two stations or servers.

Moreover, Vangen clearly discloses that the invention can be utilized in any system. Thus, the problem of the time synchronization between the member servers as disclosed by AAPA can easily be solved by Vangen disclosed time synchronization system.

Page 4

- 9. As per claims 2, 8 and 14, AAPA discloses validating the cache notification event [page 1, line 26 -- page 2, line 19].
- 10. As per claims 3, 9 and 15, AAPA discloses discarding the cache notification event if the cache notification event is invalid [page 2, line 13 -- page 3, line 24].

Application/Control Number: 10/758,056

Art Unit: 2115

- 11. As per claims 4, 10 and 16, AAPA discloses that the cache notification event is one of an add event, an update event, and an invalidation event [page 2, lines 10-12].
- 12. As per claims 5, 11, 17 and 20, AAPA discloses that the time relative information is an expiration value [page 2, lines 1-4].
- 13. As per claims 6, 12 and 18, AAPA discloses that the expiration value is one of a discrete time and an amount of time relative to a created time [page 2, lines 1-12].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suresh K. Suryawanshi whose telephone number is 571-272-3668. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,056 Page 6

Art Unit: 2115

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sks July 6, 2006

> THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100